

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,401	02/07/2000	Yukako Nii	49570(551)	1212
21874 7	7590 09/29/2003			
EDWARDS o	& ANGELL, LLP		EXAMI	NER
P.O. BOX 916 BOSTON, MA			VU, THANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	173
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	1.1/-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner		——————————————————————————————————————	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## Examiner   Thanh T. Vu   2174   2	Office Action Summary		09/499,401	NII, YUKAKO				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of time may be available useder the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled under St (s) (MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is lace than thirty (Di) days, a reply white the address of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled under St (s) (MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified shows is lace than thirty (Di) days, a reply white the address maining date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified shows a face the maining date of this communication, even if brindy filled, may reduce a my sense place the mailing date of this communication, even if brindy filled, may reduce any sense place that application is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.22 (s/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above daim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.16/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.16/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.16/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawing are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The drawing(s) filled on is/are. s) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f)			Examiner					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available used or the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions of time may be available used or the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions of time may be available used or the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions of the major of the provision of the statutory maintenance of the statutory maintenance of the control of the statutory maintenance of the control of the statutory maintenance of the statutory maintenance of the communication.  Filled the provision of the statutory will be statutory will be statutory maintenance.  1		·	Thanh T. Vu					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estations of the many be available under the provision of 3° CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  # If the pariod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply white the statutory principal to pay and will expire 1500 (50) MIX 100 (10) and 100 (10	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time map be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.15(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  It NO benefic for reply is genefic above, the mailment studies precise the pays and wite expensions of the pays and the communication.  Fallows for reply within the set or codended period for reply will. by statutes, causes the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply revened by the Office after than three maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any statutes and the provision of the pays and will expense the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any statutes.  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	• •							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)		Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3	•	<u> </u>		•				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	·	,—		resecution as to the merits is				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  ☐ accepted or b  ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b  ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3) ☐ All b  ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All □ b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  5 See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
5) Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Orawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, , , , <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>							
6  Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Orawing Review (PTO-948)  5   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3) Copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Palent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some coll None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  2] All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).    All   b) Some * c) None of:    Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.   Some the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.    Attachment(s)   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   Only Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	,							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	$\cdot$							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
	2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal					

Art Unit: 2174

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is responsive to Amendment C, Filed 7/14/03.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. In the Amendment C, claims 1, 13, and 17 were amended. This action is made Final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6-9,13,16,17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al. ("Bates", U.S. Pat. No. 5,777,616) in view of Hirose (U.S. 5,745,112) and further in view of Keller et al. ("Keller", U.S. Pat. No. 5,767,852).

Per claim 1, Bates teaches an information processing apparatus comprising: a pointing device; a display unit displaying a plurality of icons; a detection unit detecting a predetermined operation performed on a first icon which has been dragged to a second icon and moved when positioned at said second icon, said first icon displayed on said display unit and moved with movement of said pointing device (Figs. 4B and 5; icons: 114, 150 and icons: 114 and 160; Col. 2, lines 20-26; Col. 7, lines 23-25 and lines 42-56; Col. 8, lines 1-9; The first icon (114) is moved when position at said second icon (150 or 160) in order for a user to select a particular region among the plurality of regions of the second icon. In response to such movement, the GUI

Art Unit: 2174

indicates to the user that a function associated with a particular region can or cannot be performed on the first icon (the function that can be performed by the indicated region is specified by text displayed beneath the first icon. A NOT symbol 172 of fig. 5 is displayed over the first icon to indicate that the first icon cannot be performed by the function of the indicated region.)) Bates does not teach a condition update unit changing a processing condition of the information processing, represented by the second icon, to be performed on said first icon based on the detection of the operation performed on the first icon. However, Hirose teaches an information processing apparatus wherein a condition update unit changing a processing condition of the information processing, represented by the second iconic menu, to be performed on said first icon based on the detection of the operation performed on the first icon (Figs 13 and 14; Col. 6, lines 44 - 56). Furthermore, Bates does not teach a detection unit detecting said operation is a type of movement performed on the first icon. However, Keller teaches a detection unit detecting said operation is a type of movement performed on the first icon (Fig. 2; col. 2, lines 55-67; col. 4, lines 34-63; the examiner infers the type of movement is that the icon 260 is moved along the priority controller icon 262). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a condition update unit as taught by Hirose and a detection unit as taught by Keller in the invention of Bates in order to display only relevant icons for information processing based on dragging operation done on another icon and permit users to conveniently alter the processing condition of one or more running processes in a drag and drop interface.

Art Unit: 2174

Per claim 2, Bates teaches the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said detection unit detects movement of said first icon in a predetermined direction in the vicinity of said second icon while said first icon is being dragged (Col. 7, lines 42 –56).

Per claim 6, Bates teaches the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said display unit displays a processing condition associated with said second icon in the vicinity of said second icon (Fig 4B; Col. 7, lines 47-49).

Per claim 7, Bates teaches the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising a processing execution unit executing processing based on the processing condition in information processing updated by said condition update unit (Fig 6; Col. 9, lines 5-8).

Per claim 8, Bates teaches the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said second icon includes a group of icons associated with said processing condition (Fig 4A; Icon: 150).

Per claim 9, Bates teaches the information processing apparatus according to claim 8, wherein at least one of said first icon, said second icon and said group of icons is preliminary associated with said processing condition (Fig 6; Col.8, lines 10-13).

Claim 13 is similar in scope to claim 1 and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 16 is similar in scope to claim 8 and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 17 is similar in scope to claim 1 and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 20 is similar in scope to claim 8 and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 3-5, 12, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates in view of Hirose (U.S. 5,745,112), in view of Keller et al. ("Keller", U.S. Pat. No. 5,767,852) and further in view of Fitzpatrick et al ("Fitzpatrick", U.S. Pat. No. 5,546,527).

With respect to claim 3, Bates, Hirose and Keller teach the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, but do not specifically teach a detection unit detects stop of said first icon for a predetermined time in the vicinity of said second icon while said first icon is being dragged. However, Fitzpatrick shows a detection of an icon that is stopped in the vicinity of another icon while the first icon is being dragged (Col. 4, lines 9-14). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a detection unit as taught by Fitzpatrick the inventions of Bates and Hirose in order to detect a hovering action of an icon over another icon.

Per claim 5, Bates, Hirose, and Keller teach the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, but do not specifically teach said display unit changes a display form of said second icon according to a set processing condition. Fitzpatrick teaches changing display form of an icon graphics such as by slightly enlarging the icon or by providing a flashing icon (Col. 3, lines 64-66). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize Fitzpatrick's teaching to include changing display form of an icon according to a set processing condition in the invention of Bates and Hirose in order to provide users a visual clue for an icon's characteristics.

Claim 12 is similar in scope to claim 5, and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 15 is similar in scope to claim 5, and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 19 is similar in scope to claim 5, and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 4, 10, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates in view of Hirose, in view of Keller and further in view of Smith (U.S. Patent No. 5,721,853).

Per claim 4, Bates, Hirose and Keller teach the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, but do not specifically teach wherein said display unit displays said second icon as a group of icons associated with said processing condition when said detection unit detects said predetermined operation. Smith teaches said display unit displays said second icon as a group of icons associated with said processing condition when said detection unit detects said predetermined operation (Figs 1, 2, and 3B; Col. 4, lines 45-51). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include said display unit displays said second icon as a group of icons associated with said processing condition when said detection unit detects said predetermined operation as taught by Smith in the inventions of Bates and Hirose in order to save screen space by only showing the second icon as group of icons when needed.

Per claim 10, Bates, Hirose and Keller teach the information processing apparatus according to claim 8, but do not specifically teach wherein a combination of a plurality of processing conditions is set for each icon of said group of icons. However, Smith teaches a combination of a plurality of processing conditions is set for each icon of said group of icons (Figs. 4, 5, and 6; Col. 6, lines 13-16). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Smith's teaching in the inventions

Art Unit: 2174

of Bates and Hirose in order to let users set a plurality of processing conditions for each icon in a group of icons.

Claim 14 is similar in scope to claim 4 and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 18 is similar in scope to claim 4 and therefore is rejected under similar rationale.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect Amendment C have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2174

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (703)-308-9119. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and every other Fri 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-746-7239 for regular

communications and (703)-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

T. Vu

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 8